

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no person are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.



Effective on 12/08/2004.

pursuant to the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2005 (H.R. 4818).

FEE TRANSMITTAL For FY 2008

Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27

TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT **(\$)** **1,755.00**

Complete if Known

Application Number	10/623,496-Conf. #6350
Filing Date	July 22, 2003
First Named Inventor	Naohiro Tabata
Examiner Name	A. K. Soon
Art Unit	2624
Attorney Docket No.	O3020.0348/P348

METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply)

Check Credit Card Money Order None Other (please identify): _____

Deposit Account Deposit Account Number: 04-1073 Deposit Account Name: Dickstein Shapiro LLP

For the above-identified deposit account, the Director is hereby authorized to: (check all that apply)

Charge fee(s) indicated below Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee

Charge any additional fee(s) or underpayments of fee(s) under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17 Credit any overpayments

FEE CALCULATION

1. BASIC FILING, SEARCH, AND EXAMINATION FEES

Application Type	FILING FEES		SEARCH FEES		EXAMINATION FEES		
	Fee (\$)	Small Entity Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)	Small Entity Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)	Small Entity Fee (\$)	Fees Paid (\$)
Utility	310	155	510	255	210	105	
Design	210	105	100	50	130	65	
Plant	210	105	310	155	160	80	
Reissue	310	155	510	255	620	310	
Provisional	210	105	0	0	0	0	

2. EXCESS CLAIM FEES

Fee Description

Each claim over 20 (including Reissues) 50 25
 Each independent claim over 3 (including Reissues) 200 100
 Multiple dependent claims 360 180

<u>Total Claims</u>	<u>Extra Claims</u>	<u>Fee (\$)</u>	<u>Fee Paid (\$)</u>	<u>Multiple Dependent Claims</u>
<u>6</u>	<u>- 20 =</u>	<u>x</u>	<u>=</u>	<u>Fee (\$)</u>

HP = highest number of total claims paid for, if greater than 20.

<u>Indep. Claims</u>	<u>Extra Claims</u>	<u>Fee (\$)</u>	<u>Fee Paid (\$)</u>
<u>3</u>	<u>- 3 =</u>	<u>x</u>	<u>=</u>

HP = highest number of independent claims paid for, if greater than 3.

3. APPLICATION SIZE FEE

If the specification and drawings exceed 100 sheets of paper (excluding electronically filed sequence or computer listings under 37 CFR 1.52(e)), the application size fee due is \$260 (\$130 for small entity) for each additional 50 sheets or fraction thereof. See 35 U.S.C. 41(a)(1)(G) and 37 CFR 1.16(s).

<u>Total Sheets</u>	<u>Extra Sheets</u>	<u>Number of each additional 50 or fraction thereof</u>	<u>Fee (\$)</u>	<u>Fee Paid (\$)</u>
<u>_____</u>	<u>- 100 =</u>	<u>/50 =</u>	<u>(round up to a whole number) x</u>	<u>=</u>

4. OTHER FEE(S)

Non-English Specification, \$130 fee (no small entity discount)

Other (e.g., late filing surcharge): 1501 Utility issue fee	<u>1,440.00</u>
1504 Publication fee for early, voluntary, or normal ...	<u>300.00</u>
8001 Printed copy of patent w/o color	<u>15.00</u>

SUBMITTED BY

Signature	<u>PLUMA #41,198</u>	Registration No. (Attorney/Agent)	28,371	Telephone	(202) 420-2232
Name (Print/Type)	Thomas J. D'Amico			Date	December 31, 2007



Docket No.: O3020.0348/P348
(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:
Naohiro Tabata

Confirmation No.: 6350

Application No.: 10/623,496

Allowed: October 10, 2007

Filed: July 22, 2003

Group Art Unit: 2624

For: DISHONEST REGISTRATION
PREVENTIVE APPARATUS IN
PERSONAL AUTHENTICATION
SYSTEM

Examiner: Alex Kok Soon Liew

**APPLICANT'S COMMENTS ON EXAMINER'S
STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE**

Mail Stop Issue Fee
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Applicant has reviewed the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance contained in the Notice of Allowability mailed on October 10, 2007, and wishes to submit the following remarks. The Statement is somewhat unclear in that, as reasons for allowance of independent claim 3, the Statement paraphrases limitations from independent claim 1. The Statement then notes that independent claims 1 and 5 are allowable under "the rationale and rejection for claim1."

Applicant wishes to point out that each of the independent claims 1, 3 and 5 claims stands on its own merits and the actual language recited therein, and is patentable because of the combination it recites and not because of the presence or absence of any one particular element. Moreover, dependent claims 2, 4 and 6 recite limitations which, in combination with their base claims, render the dependent claims allowable. Entry of the Statement into the record should not be construed as any agreement with or acquiescence in the reasoning stated therein.

Otherwise, Applicant agrees with the Statement that the allowed claims distinguish over the prior art.

Dated: December 31, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

By 
Thomas J. D'Amico

Registration No.: 28,371

Peter A. Veytsman

Registration No.: 45,920

DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP

1825 Eye Street NW

Washington, DC 20006

(202) 420-2200

Attorneys for Applicant